The purpose of this confidential evaluation is to improve the quality of courses and teaching effectiveness by providing faculty with constructive student feedback. Please indicate your response to each item in the following sections by marking "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree" or "Not Applicable".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions related to the Instructor</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The instructors teaching aligned with the courses learning objectives/outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The instructor was receptive to students outside the classroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The instructor explained material well.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was prepared for class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The instructor gave useful feedback.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The instructor consistently treated students with respect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the instructor

[Comment field]

Limit 2000 characters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions related to the Course</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. The course materials (e.g., readings, videos, class notes, course packs, FAQs, websites, course webpage, and blogs) were valuable aids to learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. The course assignments (e.g., homework, exams, quizzes, lab reports, papers, presentations, projects, portfolios, artistic impressions, critiques, blogs, and videos) were valuable aids to learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. This course improved my knowledge of the subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Overall, this course was excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the course

Limit 2000 characters.

Questions About Your Lab Experience

13. Lab sessions contributed to mastery of course concepts
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - Not Applicable

14. Lab facilities, equipment, supplies, etc. were adequate
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - Not Applicable

15. The degree of lab difficulty was appropriate
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - Not Applicable

16. Overall, the labs were effective learning experiences
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - Not Applicable
Comment on strengths and weaknesses of the lab (if applicable)

Limit 2000 characters.

Final Thoughts

Give suggestions on how the course or how the instruction could be improved.

Limit 2000 characters.

Please make sure you are evaluating the correct course and instructor.
The course and instructor you are evaluating are listed below.

CH 202 005: Sample Course - Instructor: Sample Instructor